iled 06/23/200.
CT COURT
HUSETTS

C.A. #2004-11026 RWZ WAS OFFICE

VISION 23 PIZ: 19

VISION COURT

MASS Case 1:04-cv-11026-RWZ Document 8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Mr. Jason A. Morris

Petitioner

-Vs-

Ms. Carol Mici

Respondent

PETITIONER'S MOTION TO AMEND PETITION AFTER COUNSEL IS APPOINTED

Now comes the Petitioner, Mr. Jason A. Morris who is Pro-Se and would ask respectfully that Counsel be appointed to this issue due to the lack of Legal education and/or formal education and that he is unable to articulate these claim[s] properly, Counsel should be appointed to help address the claim at hand at any stage or [a]ny proceeding thereof.

Counsel could further support these claim[s] of Constitutional error and in the same breath protect this Petitioner's Constitutional Right[s] at every important stage in these proceedings. That being said the Petitioner would ask respectfully atleast 60 Days to amend after Counsel is appointed. Due to the above set of facts Counsel would be appropriate for the first time in this Appeal, it would be in the interest of justice. Moreover, this Petitioner requests that this Hoonorable Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule #15 in support its only in the best interest of this Court to further allow Counsel to address these claims of error in any appropriate fashion.

> THE PETITONER WOULD RESPECTFULLY REQUEST DUE TO THE ABOVE-ENTITLED REASON[S] IN SAID MOTION, COUNSEL BE APOINTED TO FURTHER HELP IN THE MERIT[S] OF THIS CLAIM. Respectfully Submitted.

Dated: June 18, 2004,

CC: Filed.

Me@dium W#60247